

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
8 **WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON**
9 **AT SEATTLE**

9 NORITA SORENSEN, as General Personal
10 Representative of the Estate of REID S.
11 SORENSON,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION,
14 et al.,

14 Defendants.

Case No. 2:23-cv-00804

ORDER GRANTING UNOPPOSED
MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

15 This matter comes before the Court on the following motions:

- 16 1. Defendant Alaskan Copper Companies, Inc.’s Motion for Summary Judgment,
17 Dkt. # 525;
18 2. Defendant Crowley Maritime’s Motion for Summary Judgment, Dkt. # 547; and
19 3. Defendant Lone Star Industries, Inc.’s Motion for Application of General
20 Maritime Law and for Summary Judgment, Dkt. # 551.

21
22 The Court has reviewed the materials submitted in support of the motions, the rest of the case
23 file, and the governing law. The movants essentially argue that there is no evidence connecting
24
25
26

1 them to the alleged injury at issue.¹ Plaintiff did not file an opposition to any of the foregoing
2 motions, and thus did not present any evidence or argument in response. Accordingly, the Court
3 GRANTS the motions and DISMISSES the claims against these movant Defendants with
4 prejudice. The Court also STRIKES as moot the following motions by the movant Defendants:

- 5 1. Defendant Alaskan Copper Companies, Inc.’s Motion to Exclude Expert
6 Testimony of Michael Ellenbecker, Dkt. # 538;
- 7 2. Defendant Lone Star Industries, Inc.’s Motion to Exclude Expert Testimony of
8 Michael Ellenbecker, Dkt. # 541; and
- 9 3. Defendant Crowley Maritime Corporation’s Motion to Exclude Expert Testimony
10 of Michael Ellenbecker, Dkt. # 549.

11
12 It is so ordered.

13 DATED this 23rd day of October, 2024.

14
15 

16 JOHN H. CHUN
17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 ¹ Defendant Crowley Marine also argues in the alternative that the “turnover doctrine” precludes liability. The Court need not reach this issue.